CHAPTER 13

Performance evaluation for managers

CONTENTS

- 13.1 Departmental accounting
- 13.2 Indirect expense allocation
- 13.3 Statement of financial performance with departmental contributions
- 13.4 Flexible budgets and performance reporting
- 13.5 Responsibility accounting

ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS

Problem 13.1 Departmental accounting

Sandbagger Sporting Goods Store operates two departments — Golf Equipment and Tennis Equipment. The following information was obtained from the store's accounting records for year ended 31 July 2003:

	Golf equipment	,	Tennis equipment	
Inventory, 1 August 2002		\$120 000		\$ 90 000
Inventory, 31 July 2003		105 000		82 000
Net sales		960 000		740 000
Purchases		568 200		367 200
Purchases returns		8 400		6 100
Freight inwards		1 200		900
Direct operating expenses		180 000		225 000

The store's indirect operating expenses are \$138 000 per year.

Required:

- A. Prepare a departmental statement of financial performance showing the departmental gross profit for each department and the store's net profit for the year.
- B. Calculate the gross profit percentage for each department.
- C. Prepare a departmental statement of financial performance that shows the net profit of each department after the indirect operating expenses are allocated on the basis of the space occupied. The store's floor space is occupied as follows:

Golf Equipment Department 576 m² Tennis Equipment Department 384 m²



SANDBAGGER SPORTING GOODS STORE

A.

Statement of Financial Performance (Departmental Gross Profit Format) for the year ended 31 July 2003

	Golf	Tennis	Combined
	Equipment	Equipment	Departments
Sales revenue			
Net sales revenue	<u>\$960 000</u>	<u>\$740 000</u>	\$1,700,000
Cost of goods sold			
Beginning inventory	120 000	90 000	210 000
Net purchases	559 800	361 100	920 900
Freight inwards	<u>1 200</u>	900	2 100
Goods available for sale	681 000	452 000	1 133 000
Ending inventory	<u>105 000</u>	<u>82 000</u>	<u>187 000</u>
Cost of goods sold	<u>576 000</u>	<u>370 000</u>	946 000
Gross profit	\$384 <u>000</u>	\$370,000	<u>\$754 000</u>
Operating expenses			
Direct operating expenses			405 000
Indirect operating expense	S		<u>138 000</u>
			<u>543 000</u>
Net profit			<u>\$211 000</u>

В.

Departmental gross profit percentage

golf 384/960 40% Tennis 370/740 50%

C.

Statement of Financial Performance (Departmental Net Profit Format) for the year ended 31 July 2003

	Golf	Tennis	Combined
	Equipment	Equipment	Departments
Sales revenue			
Net sales revenue	\$960 000	\$740 000	\$1700000
Cost of goods sold (A)	<u>576 000</u>	<u>370 000</u>	946 000
Gross profit	384 000	<u>370 000</u>	<u>754 000</u>
Operating expenses			
Direct operating expenses	180 000	225 000	405 000
Indirect operating expenses			
Golf 576/960 (138 000)	82 800		
Tennis 384/960 (13800	00)	<u>55 200</u>	<u>138 000</u>
	262 800	<u>280 200</u>	<u>543 000</u>
Operating profit (loss)	\$121 200	\$89 800	\$211 000



Problem 13.2 Indirect expense allocation

Darden's Department Store operates three departments — Whitegoods, Furniture, and Computers. To prepare a departmental statement of financial performance, the store's accountant allocates indirect operating expenses using the following allocation bases:

Indirect expense	Allocation base	Total amount
Rent	Relative value of floor space	e \$11 520
Personnel department	Number of employees	17 280
Insurance	Value of inventory	
Light and power	Square metres	8 040
Eight and power	square meass	4 600

The accountant obtained the following data for the three departments:

. 2	Whitegoods	Furniture	Computers
Floor space (m ²)	72	168	96
Number of employees	15	10	15
Value of inventory	\$24000	\$30 000	\$12 000

The Furniture Department is located on the ground floor and the other two departments are on the first floor. It is assumed that the ground floor is three times as valuable as the first floor for the purposes of the allocation of the rent expense.

Required:

Prepare a schedule allocating the indirect operating expenses to the three departments.

Solution

DARDEN'S DEPARTMENT STORE Departmental Indirect Expense Allocation Worksheet

Departments

				-		
Indirect expense	Amount	Allocation base	White goods	Furniture	Computers	Total
Rent	\$11 520	Relative value floor space	\$1 234	\$8 640	\$1 646	\$11 520
Personnel department	17 280	Number of employees	6 480	4 320	6 480	17 280
Insurance	8 040	Value of inventory	2 924	3 654	1 462	8 040
Light and power	<u>4 600</u>	Floor space (m ²)	<u>986</u>	<u>2300</u>	<u>1314</u>	4 600
	\$ <u>41 440</u>		\$ <u>11 624</u>	\$ <u>18 914</u>	\$ <u>10 902</u>	\$ <u>41 440</u>

Calculations formulae:

(All calculations rounded to nearest dollar):

Rent	72/672	504/672	96/672
Personnel department	15/40	10/40	15/40
Insurance	24/66	30/66	12/66
Light and power	72/336	168/336	96/336



Problem 13.3 Statement of financial performance with departmental contributions

Young World Emporium Ltd operates two departments - a Children's Clothing Department and a Toy Department. The company's accountant has prepared the following statement of financial performance for the year ending 31 May 2002.

	YOUNG WORLD EMPORIUM LTD Statement of Financial Performance for the year ended 31 May 2002					
C	ales ost of goods sold: Beginning inventory Purchases Goods available for sale Ending inventory Cost of goods sold ROSS PROFIT perating expenses: Salaries Insurance Advertising Depreciation Supplies Interest Total operating expenses	\$ 84 000 488 000 572 000 73 600 100 000 34 400 36 000 13 600 20 800 28 800	\$852 000 498 400 353 600			
N	ET PROFIT		\$\frac{120 000}{}{}			

The beginning inventory of the Children's Clothing Department was \$34 400, and the ending inventory was \$30 400. The beginning inventory for the Toy Department was \$49 600, and the ending inventory was \$43 200.

The company's records indicate that the following percentages of each expense or revenue are directly chargeable to the departments. Any balance left in an expense account is an indirect expense.

ltem	Children's Clothing Department (%)	Toy Department (%)	
Sales revenue	45	55	_
Salaries Insurance	21	34	
Advertising	26	36	
Depreciation	32	43	
Supplies	23	19	
Purchases	33	35	
	47	53	

Required:

Prepare a departmental statement of financial performance for the year ended 31 May 2002, showing the departmental contribution for each department.



YOUNG WORLD EMPORIUM LTD Departmental Statement of Financial Performance For the year ended 31 May 2002 (Departmental Contribution Format)

	C	Children's			
		Clothing		Toy	Total
	De	partment	De	partment	
Net sales revenue	(45%)	\$383 400	(55%)	\$468 600	<u>\$852 000</u>
Less: Cost of goods sold					
Beginning inventory		34 400		49 600	84 000
Purchases	(47%)	<u>229 360</u>	(53%)	<u>258 640</u>	<u>488 000</u>
Goods available for sale	2	263 760		308 240	572 000
Ending inventory		<u>30 400</u>		<u>43 200</u>	<u>73 600</u>
Cost of goods sold		<u>233 360</u>		<u>65 040</u>	<u>498 400</u>
Gross profit		<u>150 040</u>		<u>203 560</u>	<u>353 600</u>
Direct operating expenses					
Salaries	(21%)	21 000	(34%)	34 000	55 000
Insurance	(26%)	8 944	(36%)	12 384	21 328
Advertising	(32%)	11 520	(43%)	15 480	27 000
Depreciation	(23%)	3 128	(19%)	2 584	5712
Supplies	(33%)	<u>6864</u>	(35%)	<u>7 280</u>	<u>14 144</u>
Total direct expenses		<u>51 456</u>		<u>71 728</u>	<u>123 184</u>
Departmental contribution		<u>\$98 584</u>		<u>\$131832</u>	<u>\$230 416</u>
Indirect operating expenses					
Salaries	(45%)				45 000
Insurance	(38%)				13 072
Advertising	(25%)				9 000
Depreciation	(58%)				7 888
Supplies	(32%)				6 656
Interest	(100%)				<u>28 800</u>
Total indirect expenses					<u>110 416</u>
Net profit					<u>\$120 000</u>



Problem 13.4 Flexible budgets and performance reporting

Andrea's Supplies has prepared the following fixed budget performance report for the production department's operating results during the year ended 30 June 2002:

ANDREA'S SUPPLIES Fixed Budget Performance Report for the year ended 30 June 2002						
	Budget	Actual	Variance			
Units of production	36 000	31 200	4 800 U			
Manufacturing costs:						
Direct materials	\$324000	\$288 600	\$35 400 F			
Direct labour	252 000	215 280	36 720 F			
Factory overhead:						
Variable costs:						
Indirect labour	18 000	16 380	1 620 F			
Supplies	27 000	27 300	300 U			
Repairs	13 500	14 040	540 U			
Total variable overhead	58 500	57 720	780 F			
Fixed costs:						
Depreciation	63 600	63 720	120 U			
Insurance	1 200	960	240 F			
Rent	6 000	6 000	_			
Salaries	8 400	8 640	240 U			
Total fixed overhead	79 200	79 320	120 U			
Total factory overhead	137 700	137 040	660 F			
Total manufacturing costs	\$713 700	\$640 920	\$ <u>72 780</u> F			

Reauired:

- A. Should the production department manager be rewarded for the significantly large favourable variance reported for the year? Explain.
- B. Prepare a flexible budget performance report for the company's operating results. Comment on the manager's performance.



ANDREA'S SUPPLIES

A.

The actual level of activity was less than that used to prepare the fixed (static) budget by 4 800 units.

Logically it can be anticipated that prime costs will register favourable variances against the static budget $(\$35\,400 + 36\,720) = \$72\,120$ which accounts almost entirely for the favourable total variance of $\$72\,880$.

B.

Flexible Budget Performance Report For the year ended 30 June 2002

		Budget	Actual	Variance
Production units		31 200	31 200	_
Variable costs:	Per unit			
Direct materials	\$ 9.00	\$280 800	\$288 600	7800 U
Direct labour	7.00	218 400	215 280	3 120 F
Indirect labour	0.50	15 600	16 380	780 U
Supplies	0.75	23 400	27 300	3 900 U
Repairs	<u>0.375</u>	<u>11 700</u>	14 040	<u>2340</u> U
Total variable costs	<u>\$17.625</u>	<u>549 900</u>	<u>561 600</u>	<u>11 700</u> U
Fixed costs:				
Depreciation		63 600	63 720	120 U
Insurance		1 200	960	240 F
Rent		6 000	6 000	_
Salaries		8 400	8 640	<u>240</u> U
Total fixed costs		<u>79 200</u>	79 320	<u>120</u> U
Total manufacturing costs		\$629 100	\$640 920	<u>\$11 820</u> U

In contrast to the static/actual comparisons the comparison between the flexible budget and actual reveals a more realistic performance evaluation.

Static/actual \$72 880 F variance Flexible/actual \$11 820 U variance



Problem 13.5 Responsibility accounting

Anderson Autos Pty Ltd is a dealership operating in rural Victoria. In recent years, the company has experienced unsatisfactory profit results because of declining sales in the area. At the suggestion of the company's public accountant, responsibility accounting was implemented at the beginning of 2002. The following departments were organised as profit centres:

- 1. new car sales
- 2. used car sales
- 3. service mechanical
- 4. service body shop
- 5. parts and accessories.

Monthly reports are prepared showing the profit results of each of the five departments. On 20 April 2002, the parts and accessories manager and the used car manager requested a meeting with the company's general manager, Sandra Anderson, to discuss the way responsibility accounting was being applied. In particular, they are protesting against two policies that currently are in effect:

- 1. The parts and accessories department must transfer all parts and accessories internally to other departments at their original invoice cost.
- 2. The used car sales department is charged the full dollar amount allowed by the new car sales department on a used car traded in for a new car. In many cases, this amount exceeds the ultimate selling price of the used car. The used car sales manager tells the general manager about a recent case that is typical. A vehicle with a wholesale market value of \$8000 was traded in on a new car with a list price of \$20,400 and a dealer cost of \$16,320. A trade-in allowance of \$10,880 was given on the used car to promote the deal and the customer paid cash of \$9520. Consequently, a profit of \$4080 (\$10,880 + \$9520 \$16,320) was recognised by the new car sales department.

The retail market value of the used car was \$9200 and it was sold at that price 2 weeks later. Since the used car sales department was charged \$10,880 when the used car was added to the inventory, it incurred a loss of \$1680 on the ultimate sale.

Both managers (parts and accessories and used car) are upset by what they consider unfair practices and violation of the basic premise of responsibility accounting.

Required:

- A. Do you agree or disagree with the two managers?
- B. What would you do to improve the situation, if anything?



ANDERSON AUTOS PTY LTD

Α.

Yes, one would have to agree that this is a bad application of responsibility accounting. Neither department in question is being treated within the context of controllability as it is used in a proper application of responsibility accounting. The two departments cannot control the factors that contribute to profit so they should not be profit centres. At best, they might be cost centres unless different policies are adopted. For example, the parts and accessories department cannot make the items up to include a profit margin for internal transfers. Also, the used car sales department must record used cars acquired at a cost that is inflated because of the amount of trade-in allowance required to sell a new car.

B.

The two deficiencies discussed in part A should be eliminated and the two departments should be allowed to operate as true profit centres. The parts and accessories department should make up all items transferred internally to other departments by a fair amount. In many dealerships, this is accomplished by adding a certain gross profit percentage to the cost of the interdepartmental transfers, thereby enabling the parts and accessories department to earn a profit.

Used cars should be added to inventory at the wholesale market value that can be easily determined from a number of objective sources published regularly. When the used cars are sold, profit or loss is measured by the difference between the selling price and the inventory cost, which is the same treatment as in other retailing activities. As such, the used car sales manager is responsible for earning a profit on the department's operation. In the situation discussed in this case, the department would have an inventory cost of \$8 000 which would be matched with ultimate selling price of \$9 200 so the profit on the used car would be \$1 200. Consequently, the profit recognised on the new car also would have been \$1 200 (\$9 520 + \$8 000 - \$16 320). Note that the assignment of the actual profit on the new car and old car combined is the basic issue. As reported, the new car sales department had a profit of \$4 080 and the used car sales department a loss of \$1 680. The difference between the two is \$2 400, the actual profit on the two sales. The alternative treatment suggested above provided the proper profit incentive for both departments, rather than for the new car sales department alone.

